BEFORE THE TENNESSEE REGULATORY AUTHORITY

NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE

August 28, 2002

IN RE:	하게 되었다. 이 사람들은 사람들은 사람들이 들어가게 모르겠다면 말했다. 교리는 이 이상이 하는 사람들이 되었다는 그들은 사용하게 있다. 소설했다.	
성을 하고 있다는 것이 있는 것이 하는 것이 되었다. 그는 사람들이 되었다. 생물을 하다면 하는 것이 되었다.		
PETITION FOR APPROVAL OF) DOCKET NO. 02-00582	
INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT) DOCKET NO. 02-00582	S
BETWEEN UNITED TELEPHONE-	[1]	
SOUTHEAST, INC. AND METROCAL INC.	L ,)	
	도 보았다. 등 교통 보는 10 분명이 되었다. 그 등 등 등 하는 경기를 받았다. 등 등 등에 되었다. 당 사람들은 보다는 것이 되어 보는 것이 되었다. 그 등 등 등 등 등 등 등 등 등 등 등 등 등 등 등 등 등 등	

ORDER APPROVING INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT

This matter came before Chairman Sara Kyle, Director Deborah Taylor Tate, and Director Ron Jones of the Tennessee Regulatory Authority (the "Authority"), the voting panel assigned to this docket, at a regularly scheduled Authority Conference held on July 23, 2002 to consider, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 252, the Petition for approval of an interconnection agreement negotiated between United Telephone-Southeast, Inc. and Metrocall, Inc., filed on May 20, 2002.

Based upon the review of the agreement, the record in this matter, and the standards for review set forth in 47 U.S.C. § 252, the Directors unanimously granted the Petition and made the following findings and conclusions:

- 1) The Authority has jurisdiction over public utilities pursuant to Tenn. Code Ann. § 65-4-104.
- 2) The agreement is in the public interest as it provides consumers with alternative sources of telecommunications services within the United Telephone-Southeast, Inc. service area.

- 3) The agreement is not discriminatory to telecommunications service providers that are not parties thereto.
- 4) 47 U.S.C. § 252(e)(2)(A) provides that a state commission may reject a negotiated agreement only if it "discriminates against a telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement" or if the implementation of the agreement "is not consistent with the public interest, convenience or necessity." Unlike arbitrated agreements, a state commission may not reject a negotiated agreement on the grounds that the agreement fails to meet the requirements of 47 U.S.C. §§ 251 or 252(d). Thus, although the Authority finds that neither ground for rejection of a negotiated agreement exists, this finding should not be construed to mean that the agreement is consistent with §§ 251 or 252(d) or, for that matter, previous Authority decisions.
 - 5) No person or entity has sought to intervene in this docket.
- 6) The agreement is reviewable by the Authority pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 252 and Tenn. Code Ann. § 65-4-104.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED THAT:

The Petition is granted, and the interconnection agreement negotiated between United Telephone-Southeast, Inc. and Metrocall, Inc. is approved and is subject to the review of the Authority as provided herein.

Sara Kyle, Chairman

Deborah Taylor Tate, Director

on Jones, Director

¹ See 47 U.S.C. § 252(e)(2)(B)(Supp. 2001).